

ATENT COOPERATION TREA
PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 3460.01	FOR FURTHER ACTION	see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA.210) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No. PCT/US94/13808	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 02/12/94	(Earliest) Priority Date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 02/12/93
Applicant DISCOVERY COMMUNICATIONS, INC. et al.		

This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This international search report consists of a total of 3 sheets.

It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

1. Certain claims were found unsearchable (see Box I).
2. Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).
3. The international application contains disclosure of a **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing** and the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing
 - filed with the international application.
 - furnished by the applicant separately from the international application.
 - but not accompanied by a statement to the effect that it did not include matter going beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed.

Transcribed by this Authority
4. With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.
 the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:
5. With regard to the abstract,
 - the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.
 - the text has been established, according to Rule 36.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box II. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is
 - as suggested by the applicant.
 - because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.
 - because this figure better characterizes the invention.
 - None of the figures.